	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/610,118	BUSFIELD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phuong Huynh	1644
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>		
(1) <u>Phuong Huynh</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jean M Silveri</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 December 2004	Time:	÷
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(s) disousses.		
Claims discussed: 272, 274, 276 and 277		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand. 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
(Analisan	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applican	VAPPIICATES Representative of	.3)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)